

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/405,921	09/24/99	9 YOSELOFF		İΥİ	307.026US1
·			1 [	EXAMINER	
021186 GCHWEGMAN.	LUNDBERG,	QM12/0706 WOESSNER & KLUTH		ASHBURN, S	
P.O. BOX 2938				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	S MN 55402			3713	9
				DATE MAILED:	07/06/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/405,921	YOSELOFF ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Steven Ashburn	3713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]							
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 June 2001</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.							
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE: .</li></ul>							
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
6. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
9. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on a) ☐ has b) ☐ has not been approved by the Examiner.							
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>7</u> .							
11. Other:							

Continuation of 6. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1) The applicant has not submitted information or drawings required by the examiner to provide a sufficient and enabled description of the invention. 2) The applicant has made not admendments to overcome the 35USC103(a) rejection of the invention. The examiner does not find the applicant's arguments persuasive. The rejection is maintained.

VALENCIA MARTIN-WALLACE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700